



**City of Kingston
Information Report to Rural Advisory Committee
Report Number RAC-15-003**

To: Chair and Members of the Rural Advisory Committee
From: Lanie Hurdle, Commissioner, Community Services
Resource Staff: Luke Follwell, Director, Recreation & Leisure Services
Date of Meeting: February 25, 2015
Subject: Rural Parks Update

Executive Summary:

As requested by the Rural Advisory Committee at their meeting on November 17, 2014, staff will provide a briefing on the rural parks review. See attached as Exhibit A, Report Number ARCP 14-012 – Rural Parks Review.

Recommendation:

This report is for information purposes only.

February 25, 2015

Page 2 of 3

Authorizing Signatures:

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY COMMISSIONER

Lanie Hurdle, Commissioner, Community Services

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Gerard Hunt, Chief Administrative Officer

Consultation with the following Members of the Corporate Management Team:

Cynthia Beach, Corporate & Strategic Initiatives	Not required
Denis Leger, Transportation, Facilities & Emergency Services	Not required
Jim Keech, President and CEO, Utilities Kingston	Not required
Desiree Kennedy, Chief Financial Officer & City Treasurer	Not required

February 25, 2015

Page 3 of 3

Options/Discussion:

As requested by the Rural Advisory Committee at their meeting on November 17, 2014 staff will provide a briefing on the rural parks review.

Existing Policy/By-Law:

Not applicable

Notice Provisions:

Not applicable

Accessibility Considerations:

Not applicable

Financial Considerations

Not applicable

Contacts:

Lanie Hurdle, Commissioner, Community Services 613-546-4291 extension 1231

Other City of Kingston Staff Consulted:

Luke Follwell, Director, Recreation & Leisure Services Department

Neal Unsworth, Manager, Parks Development, Recreation & Leisure Services Department

Exhibits Attached:

Exhibit A Report Number ARCP 14-012 – Rural Parks Review



City of Kingston
Report to Arts Recreation and Community Policies Committee
Report Number ARCP-14-012

To: Chair and Members of Arts Recreation and Community Policies Committee

From: Lanie Hurdle, Commissioner, Community Services

Resource Staff: Luke Follwell, Director, Recreation & Leisure Services

Date of Meeting: September 25, 2014

Subject: Rural Parks Review

Executive Summary:

In December of 2013, Council directed staff to complete a review and rationalization of parks located in the rural areas of the municipality. This project included an assessment of the type of parks in the inventory as well as the amenities in these parks. Public consultation with the rural community was conducted to better understand how rural parks are used and valued. This report provides recommendations to improve parks strategically in the rural area as per Council direction.

Recommendation:

That the Arts, Recreation & Community Policies Committee recommend to Council:

- a) **That** the natural lands known as Brewers Mills Open Space and Highland Heights Open Space be reclassified in the park inventory from Parkland to Natural Open Space and be described in the City's GIS mapping database accordingly; and
- b) **That** the natural lands known as Deerview Park, Eden Valley Park, Eden Valley South Park and Marclen Park be renamed Deerview Open Space, Eden Valley Open Space, Eden Valley South Open Space, and Marclen Open Space respectively, and be reclassified in the park inventory from Parkland to Natural Open Space, and be described in the City's GIS mapping database accordingly; and
- c) **That** staff be directed to include in future capital budget plans, for consideration, mid-term upgrades to Hemlock Downs Park, John Brewer Park, Peirson Park, Poplar Grove Park, Riverside Central Park, Rosedale Park and Shannon's Corners Park, as described in the matrix attached as Exhibit A to Report Number ARCP-14-012; and

September 25, 2014

Page 2 of 8

- d) **That** staff be directed to include consideration for future planning and/or upgrades, as part of the current waterfront master planning exercise, for the waterfront lands in the rural area known as Cecil and Wilma Graham Park, Channelview Park, Colonel By Park, Edenwood Park, English Landing Park, Esplanade Park, Jarvis Road Open Space, Milton Lookout Park, Ravensview Park, Riverside Waterside Park, Riverwood Park and Sibbit Park; and
- e) **That** staff be directed to bring to Council for consideration, future opportunities as they occur, for access or acquisition of park or natural land, in the western rural neighbourhood area known as Sharpton/Glenvale.

Authorizing Signatures:

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY COMMISSIONER

Lanie Hurdle, Commissioner, Community Services

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

Gerard Hunt, Chief Administrative Officer

Consultation with the following Commissioners:

Cynthia Beach, Corporate & Strategic Initiatives	Not required
Denis Leger, Transportation, Facilities & Emergency Services	Not required
Jim Keech, President and CEO, Utilities Kingston	

September 25, 2014

Page 3 of 8

Options/Discussion:

The Official Plan (OP), Section 3.8.11, states that municipal parks are acquired to meet the needs of the population for recreation and cultural activities in accordance with the City's Parks and Recreation Master Plan (PRMP). The OP and the PRMP define a hierarchy of parks (i.e. Regional, City-Wide, District and Neighbourhood).

While the PRMP does not give specific recommendations for rural parks, it does indicate the importance of active and healthy lifestyles and opportunities for both passive and active recreation for Kingston residents. It recommends the expansion of existing natural parks and trail systems that serve ecological, passive recreational and active transportation purposes. It speaks to the appropriate functions and uses for parks, the satisfactory distribution and quantity of parks to provide access to residents and maintaining the integrity of the natural heritage system.

In the review and rationalization of rural parks, staff considered these directions in the Official Plan (OP) and in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan (PRMP).

The process for the review and rationalization of rural parks included:

1. An assessment of the inventory of park lands and open space lands and a review of site attributes of these lands;
2. Public consultation through public meetings and surveys;
3. The analysis of data and feedback; and
4. The development of recommendations to improve parks strategically in the rural area.

1. Inventory of Parks and Open Spaces

- There are forty-one (41) City owned park lands or open space lands in the rural area of the City. The rural area is defined in the OP in Schedule 2 - City Structure.
- Ten (10) of the City's twenty-four (24) ball diamonds are located in rural parks.
- There are four (4) outdoor rinks - one (1) City operated, three (3) operated by volunteers.
- There are two (2) community centre lands with park attributes, one park adjacent to a community centre and three (3) parks adjacent to fire halls.
- Park or open space land types:
 - 6 natural open space lands: include significant wetlands and/or environmental protected areas (EPA), woodlot, meadow;
 - 35 park lands (either developed or of limited development);
 - Of the 35 park lands, 13 are currently of limited development with passive use including woodland, meadow, open lawn, informal trails.
 - Of the 35 park lands, 22 park and community centre lands are developed.
 - Of the 22 developed parks, 7 have passive use including open lawn, pathways, benches, lookouts and woodland.

September 25, 2014

Page 4 of 8

- Of the 22 developed parks, 13 have active use including play equipment, sport, and/or programed space.
- Of the 22 developed parks, 2 are active linear parks that are primarily trail and pathway systems.
- Of the 35 park lands, 14 are waterfront.

Based on an approximate rural area population of 11,200, the park service level is 10.8 hectares (ha.)/1000 persons. When only considering park lands and not considering natural open space lands, the service level is 9.7 ha/1000 persons. When only considering developed park lands and not considering natural open space lands or park lands of current limited development, the service level is 7 ha/1000 persons. The service level does not consider other public lands such as the Parks Canada Rideau Canal lands or the Cataraqui Region Conservation Authority lands. The PRMP sets a service level goal of 4.0 ha /person per 1000 residents.

As part of the inventory assessment, staff visited each site and conducted attribute analysis and visual inspections. Exhibit A of this report details each park's OP hierarchy and describes attributes and primary characteristics of the sites as well as the percentage of Environmental Protection Area (EPA) within each park is noted. Sixteen (16) of the parks contain some EPA lands. Six (6) of the natural open space lands that make up the rural park inventory have significant EPA and/or wetland limiting their function as developable park.

2. Public Consultation: Two (2) Public Open Houses, Survey and Online Surveys

Two public open houses were held to receive public feedback:

- June 23 (at the Glenburnie Fire Hall) - Open House targeted to residents west of the Cataraqui River and north of the 401. This meeting also included the presentation of a conceptual plan for an improvement project to Shannon's Corners Park currently in process.
- July 14 (at the Joyceville Fire Station) - Open House for those residents in the rural east and north east end of the municipality.
- Both public sessions included examination of large aerial photography of all the parks and open spaces in the rural area displayed in an open house forum. Staff described attributes each site to the group, hosted discussion and received feedback from attendees.

Web and Direct Survey:

The results of the web survey and direct surveys are highlighted below. The web survey was available online from June 24 to August 15.

- Twenty nine persons filled out the web survey.
- Eleven persons completed the direct surveys and submitted at the public meetings or by mail.
- Forty-six percent of respondents use rural parks weekly.

September 25, 2014

Page 5 of 8

- Eighty-one percent use them all year/all seasons.
- Demographics noted a wide range of park users with the highest component being adults.
- Thirty six percent of the respondents use local neighbourhood parks on a regular basis.
- Thirty-nine percent of respondents use the woodlands and natural open space land on a regular basis.

Survey feedback indicated resident appreciation for specific parks and open spaces and provided rationale as to why the respondents valued them. Some complaints were received regarding general maintenance. Upgrades to park landscape and amenities were a consistent request.

3. Feedback and Analysis

- Resident awareness was a concern regarding location of parks or open spaces in their respective areas. The public meeting attendees suggested that park boundary definition through landscape treatment and/or indicator signage would address this shortcoming.
- Many residents noted a lack of City web site information on rural parks (sites, address, amenities and hours of operation).
- Residents value the variety of types of rural parks including traditional developed park lands and parks of limited development and natural open spaces.
- The relatively healthy park service level was seen by some residents as inadequate when also considering distribution, distance to parks and quality of amenities.
- Many of the passive woodland parks and open spaces are used daily for walks and nature appreciation in all seasons.
- Improvements to existing or informal foot paths were desired for daily use and to improve cross community connectivity.
- Requests for higher maintenance service of amenities at district parks were expressed including providing additional garbage containers.
- Existing developed parks with active play amenities such as benches, pathways, play equipment, tennis courts and undersized ball diamonds were viewed as dated and in need of upgrades.
- The more rugged or natural park and open space lands are valued for enjoyment of nature and tranquility and are used commonly for walking and hiking. Some of the foot paths and nature trails are maintained by the residents. Desire to improve accessibility, walkability and connectivity was heard and while these types of parklands were highly used, residents did not desire upgrades to these lands such as found in more traditional urban parks.
- Waterfront lands are viewed as highly desirable public properties that need examination and improvements to both protect the public and the shoreline. Some waterfront parks were seen as having high potential for improvement, in particular, Cecil and Wilma Graham Park, an eighteen (18) acre waterfront park with flat open landscape, extensive

September 25, 2014

Page 6 of 8

road frontage and expansive water frontage and southern views of Colonel By Lake. At the public meeting the attendees were apprised of the current waterfront master planning exercise and it was proposed that all waterfront parks be considered as part of that process.

- A deficiency of rural park lands distribution was identified in the northwest quadrant of the City in an area approximating the neighbourhood of Sharpton/Glenvale. Residents expressed a desire to have access to a district scaled park or open space land in this area to improve opportunities for cultural and recreational activities. This should be noted for future land access planning.

4. Recommendations

Reclassify lands in park inventory to reflect natural land attributes: Six (6) natural open space lands, as described in Exhibit A, with significant EPA and wetland areas restricting their ability to be utilized for park function or developed as park should be reclassified in the park inventory and modified in the City's GIS database accordingly. This change of working description requires no planning consideration as park lands and natural open space lands both reside under the OP definition of Open Space. These lands are valued by the community as they serve an environmental and aesthetic function and may have some potential, as described in Exhibit A, to include future limited park amenity improvements such as trail or outlook.

Rename lands in park inventory to reflect natural land attributes: Four (4) natural open space lands, as described in Exhibit A, with significant EPA and wetland should be renamed. The renaming should follow the convention in that 'Deerview Park', for example, would become 'Deerview Open Space'.

Mid-term upgrades: Seven (7) parks should be included in future capital planning for consideration of upgrades, as described in Exhibit A, such as pathways, accessibility improvements, replacement or upgrade of aging infrastructure, grading, play equipment, community gathering areas, sport fields, tree planting, etc.

Long term upgrades: Consideration should be given to long term upgrades, as described in Exhibit A, such as pathway and trails, lookouts, community gathering areas, sport, play equipment, park indicator signage, etc.

Assess parks as part of the Waterfront Master Plan: Fourteen (14) park and recreational use lands should be assessed and prioritized for long term planning and/or improvements as part of the current waterfront master planning exercise, as described in Exhibit A. Rural waterfront parks and open spaces are currently included in the Council approved scope of work of the Waterfront Master Plan project. Specific recommendations for these lands will be part of the final Waterfront Master Plan report.

Improve park or open space lands distribution: The western rural neighbourhood area known as Sharpton/Glenvale has a population of approximately 2000 resident and is served by Cloverdale

September 25, 2014

Page 7 of 8

Park and the K&P Trail. Cloverdale Park, however, is on the south eastern limit of the residential boundary and the K&P Trail linear park is of a configuration that cannot satisfy many of the functions of traditional park or open space lands. Opportunities to improve park distribution in Sharpton/Glenvale by increasing access to park or natural open space lands should be considered. Land access may be achieved by many means including, but not limited to, use agreements, acquisition, donation, bequeath, partnership, lease, licence, etc.

Community centre lands: The two (2) community centre lands should be assessed as part of the current Kingston East Community Centre planning exercise.

Status quo: This recommendation, as described in Exhibit A, is for parks and open spaces that are functioning well and/or have been subject to recent improvements and upgrades.

Existing Policy/By-Law:

In the review and rationalization of rural parks, staff has considered the direction in the Official Plan (OP) and in the Parks and Recreation Master Plan (PRMP).

Notice Provisions:

Not applicable

Accessibility Considerations:

Not applicable

Financial Considerations:

Costs for the mid-term park improvements recommended in this report would be identified as part of future capital budget planning. These improvements are predominantly replacements or upgrades to existing amenities and would not pose a significant impact to the cost of maintaining these parks and can be accommodated within current operating budgets.

Contacts:

Lanie Hurdle, Commissioner, Community Services 613-546-4291 extension 1231

Luke Follwell, Director, Recreation and Leisure Services 613-546-4291 extension 1815

Neal Unsworth, Manger, Parks Development 613-546-4291 extension 1811

September 25, 2014

Page 8 of 8

Other City of Kingston Staff Consulted:

Damon Wells, Director, Public Works Department

Troy Stubinski, Manager, Public Works Department

Exhibits Attached:

Exhibit A - Matrix of Rural Park Lands and Open Space Lands

Exhibit B - Mapping

Type of Park (as per Official Plan and Parks & Recreation Master Plan)

Regional: parks and recreation areas attract patrons from the wider regional area and may include multiple functions on a property.

RURAL PARKS

City -Wide: attract patrons from a wide area across the municipality and may be designed for multi-purposes or specialized functions, including civic and cultural activities, and recreational and social functions.

District: parks and playfields, serving a number of neighbourhoods, provide for a variety of outdoor or indoor facilities for sports, recreation and community centre uses.

Neighbourhood: parks and playgrounds accomodate a range of more informal recreational, leisure and play activities, frequently oriented to children's facilities.

Natural Open Space Lands								
Name	Area (ha.)	Area (A.)	Park Hierarchy	Attributes	Use	Details	% EPA	Recommended Action
BREWER'S MILLS OPEN SPACE	5.2	12.9	N/A	Natural Open Space	Passive	EPA / Woodland / Meadow / Wetland / Vista (2 parcels)	35	Reclassify in parks inventory as Natural Open Space, update GIS- long term future upgrades - trail, lookout, etc.
DEERVIEW PARK	0.6	1.4	N/A	Natural Open Space	Passive	EPA / Woodland / Meadow / Wetland (2 parcels)	40	Rename to Deerview Open Space, reclassify in parks inventory as Natural Open Space, update GIS
EDEN VALLEY PARK	2.0	5.0	N/A	Natural Open Space	Passive	EPA / Wetland / Vista	71	Rename to Eden Valley Open Space, reclassify in parks inventory as Natural Open Space, update GIS - long term future upgrades - lookout
EDEN VALLEY SOUTH PARK	1.5	3.7	N/A	Natural Open Space	Passive	EPA / Wetland / Vista	83	Rename to Eden Valley South Open Space, reclassify in parks inventory as Natural Open Space, update GIS
HIGHLAND HEIGHTS OPEN SPACE	1.2	3.0	N/A	Natural Open Space	Passive	EPA / Woodland / Wetland / Vista	100	Reclassify in parks inventory as Natural Open Space, update GIS
MARCLLEN PARK	2.0	4.9	N/A	Natural Open Space	Passive	EPA / Woodland / Wetland / Vista (2 parcels)	96	Rename to Marclen Open Space, reclassify in parks inventory as Natural Open Space, update GIS - long term future upgrades - trail, community gathering, etc.

Park Lands - Limited Development

Name	Area (ha.)	Area (A.)	Park Hierarchy	Attributes	Use	Details	% EPA	Recommended Action
CANAL DRIVE OPEN SPACE	0.2	0.6	Neighbourhood Park	Open Lawn	Passive	High visibility site		Long term future upgrades - pathway, community gathering place, benches, landscaping, etc.
CECIL & WILMA GRAHAM PARK	7.4	18.4	District Park	Large Waterfront Woodland / Meadow / Vista	Passive	Extensive waterfront / high visibility site / flat terrain		Assess as part of Waterfront Master Plan (WFMP) - long term masterplanning, future upgrades - boat launch, trails, lookout, picnicking, community gathering, sport, etc.
CHANNELVIEW ROAD PARK	1.8	4.3	Neighbourhood Park	Woodland / Vista	Passive	Interconnected community trail system		Long term future upgrades - trails, lookout, etc.
COLONEL BY PARK	1.9	4.6	Neighbourhood Park	Waterfront Woodland / Vista	Passive	Waterfront /Trail/sLookout/ Monument		Assess as part of WFMP - long term future upgrades - trails, lookout, etc.
FAIRCREST PARK	0.3	0.7	Neighbourhood Park	Woodland	Passive	Watercourse		Status Quo
JARVIS ROAD OPEN SPACE	1.0	2.5	District Park	Waterfront Woodland / Vista	Passive	Access/ flat terrain		Assess as part of WFMP - long term future upgrades - boat launch, trail, lookout, etc.

Park Lands - Limited Development (Cont.)

Name	Area (ha.)	Area (A.)	Park Hierarchy	Attributes	Use	Details	% EPA	Recommended Action
MACALPINE PARK	0.4	1.1	Neighbourhood Park	Woodland / Vista	Passive	Trails		Long term future upgrades - trail, lookout, etc.
MAYVIEW PARK	0.6	1.4	Neighbourhood Park	Woodland / Vista	Passive	Encroachments / trails		Long term future upgrades - trail, lookout, etc.
RIVERWOOD PARK	2.7	6.6	Neighbourhood Park	Waterfront Woodland / Vista	Passive	Trails	5	Assess as part of WFMP - long term future upgrades - trails, lookout, etc.
ROCHDALE PARK	0.8	2.0	Neighbourhood Park	Woodland / Vista	Passive	Encroachments / trails		Long term future upgrades - trails, lookout, etc.
SIBBIT PARK	0.2	0.5	Neighbourhood Park	Waterfront Woodland / Vista	Passive	Trails	1	Assess as part of WFMP - long term future upgrades - trails, lookout, etc.
SUNNYSIDE PARK	0.8	2.0	Neighbourhood Park	Woodland / Meadow	Passive	Trails		Long term future upgrades - trails, etc.
WHITMOUNT ESTATES PARK	11.1	27.4	Neighbourhood Park	Woodland / Vista	Passive	Interconnected trail system		Long term future upgrades - trails, lookout, etc.

Park Lands - Developed

Name	Area (ha.)	Area (A.)	Park Hierarchy	Attributes	Use	Details	% EPA	Recommended Action
CLOVERDALE PARK	6.6	16.3	Regional Park	Open Developed Parkland	Active	(4) Ball diamonds / play equipment / adjacent to community centre		Status Quo
CHANNELVIEW PARK	0.3	0.8	Neighbourhood Park	Waterfront / Open Developed Parkland	Active	Play equipment	55	Assess as part of WFMP - long term future upgrades - small craft launch, lookout, etc.
EDENWOOD PARK	4.5	11.0	Neighbourhood Park	Waterfront / Open Developed Parkland	Active	Play equipment	7	Assess as part of WFMP - long term masterplanning, future upgrades - play equipment, interconnected community trails, lookout, picnicking, community gathering, etc.
ENGLISH LANDING PARK	0.8	2.0	Neighbourhood Park	Waterfront / Open Lawn	Passive	Lookout / benches / pathway	39	Assess as part of WFMP - long term future upgrades - small craft launch, lookout, pathway, etc.
ESPLANADE PARK	0.9	2.2	Neighbourhood Park	Waterfront / Open Lawn	Passive	Lookout / pathway	12	Assess as part of WFMP - mid term upgrades - access pathway, lookout - long term future upgrades interconnected community pathway, benches, etc.
GRASS CREEK PARK	38.4	95.0	Regional Park	Large Waterfront / Open Developed Parkland	Active	Waterfront/boat ramp/washrooms/picnic area/beach/play equipment/trails/off leash area	10	Approved Master Plan for Future Implementation - Prioritize as part of WFMP
GREENWOOD PARK LINEAR TRAIL	4.5	11.0	District Park	Linear Park	Active	Interconnected community multiuse pathway system		Status Quo

Park Lands - Developed (Cont.)

Name	Area (ha.)	Area (A.)	Park Hierarchy	Attributes	Use	Details	% EPA	Recommended Action
HEMLOCK DOWNS PARK	2.2	5.4	Neighbourhood Park	Open Developed Parkland	Active	Play equipment / ball diamond / rink		Mid term upgrades - pathway, play equipment, sport field, etc.
HOLMAN DRIVE PARK	0.3	0.8	Neighbourhood Park	Open Developed Parkland	Active	Play equipment		Status Quo
JOHN BREWER PARK	4.0	10.0	District Park	Open Developed Parkland	Active	Adjacent to community fire hall / 2 tennis courts / ball diamond / trails / play equipment / rink		Mid term upgrades - court surfacing, pathway, play equipment, benches, etc.
K&P TRAILHEAD PARK	N/A	0.02/trail 15km	City Wide	Linear Park	Active	community multiuse trail		Status Quo
MADOMA COMMUNITY CENTRE	3.2	7.8	District Park	Waterfront / Open Developed Parkland	Active	Satelite community centre / play equipment / 2 tennis courts	42	Assess as part of WFMP / East End Community Centre planning - long term masterplanning, future upgrades - court surfacing, pathway, lookout, community gathering, sport, etc.
MEADOWCREST COMMUNITY CENTRE	1.9	4.7	District Park	Open Developed Parkland	Active	Satelite community centre / ball diamond / basketball		Assess as part of East End Community Centre planning - long term masterplanning, future upgrades - pathway, community gathering, sport, play equipment, etc.
MILTON LOOKOUT PARK	0.4	1.0	Neighbourhood Park	Woodland / Open Lawn	Passive	community trail, lookout	2	Assess as part of WFMP - long term future upgrades - trails, lookout, etc.

Park Lands - Developed (Cont.)

Name	Area (ha.)	Area (A.)	Park Hierarchy	Attributes	Use	Details	% EPA	Recommended Action
PEIRSON PARK	1.2	3.0	District Park	Open Developed Parkland	Active	Adjacent to community fire hall / 2 ball diamonds / play equipment / rink		Mid term upgrades - community gathering, pathway, benches, play equipment, etc.
POPLAR GROVE PARK	0.4	1.0	Neighbourhood Park	Meadow / Open Lawn	Passive	Adjacent to extensive private trail system		Mid term upgrades - pathway, play equipment, bench, etc.
RAVENSVIEW PARK	0.2	0.5	Neighbourhood Park	Waterfront Woodland	Passive	Lookout, pebble beach		Assess as part of WFMP - mid term future upgrades - pathway, lookout, benches, etc.
RIVERSIDE CENTRAL	2.1	5.3	Neighbourhood Park	Woodland / Meadow / Open Lawn	Active	community pathway / play equipment / boardwalk, tobogganing		Play equipment upgrades complete in 2014 - mid term upgrades - pathway, boardwalk, planting
RIVERSIDE WATERSIDE	0.4	1.0	Neighbourhood Park	Waterfront Woodland / Open Lawn	Passive	Interconnected community path, picnic table, beach, small craft	10	Assess as part of WFMP - long term future upgrades - pathway, lookout, beach, etc.
ROSEDALE PARK	4.1	10.1	Neighbourhood Park	Woodland	Active	Trail / play equipment		Mid term upgrades - open lawn, landscaping, etc.
SHANE PARK	0.3	0.7	Neighbourhood Park	Open Lawn	Passive	Centre of large cul-de-sac		Long term future upgrades - pathway, bench, etc.
SHANNONS CORNERS PARK	2.1	5.1	District Park	Open Developed Parkland	Active	Ball Diamond/ Driveway/Parking/ Play Equipment/ Basketball Courts		Upgrades in 2014/2015 - pathways, parking lot, play equipment, courts, etc. - mid term upgrades - softball diamond



Hemlock Downs Park



Edenwood Park



Eden Valley
Eden Valley South
Parks



Sibbit Park

Ravensview Park



Cecil & Wilma Graham Park



Jarvis Road Open Space



Canal Drive, Rochdale and Meadowcrest Parks



Faircrest & Riverside Central Parks

Madama Community Centre



Marclen Park



Holman Dr
Park



Deerview Park




Poplar Grove
Park

Esplanade
Park



* Greenwood Park Linear Trail




Milton Lookout
Park



Riverside
Waterside
Park





Rural Parks West of Cataraqui / Rideau River Systems

