



**KINGSTON MUNICIPAL HERITAGE COMMITTEE
MINUTES
MEETING No. 2012-01
Monday 05th December 2011 at 12:00 noon*
in the Council Chamber, City Hall**

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. Peter Gower, Chair
Mr. R. Bruce Downey, Vice-Chair
Councillor Bill Glover
Mr. Robert Cardwell
Mr. John Coleman
Mr. John Duerkop
Mr. Michael Gemmell
Mr. Mac Gervan
Mr. Don Taylor

REGRETS

Ms. Christine Synowich

STAFF PRESENT

Mr. Kevin Arjoon, Deputy City Clerk
Ms. Cynthia Beach, Commissioner, Sustainability & Growth
Ms. Ashley Henderson, Building & Plumbing Inspector
Ms. Lindsay Lambert, Planner
Ms. Susan Powley, Committee Clerk
Ms. Marnie Venditti, Manager, Development Approvals

OTHERS PRESENT

Ms. Helen Finley
3 members of the public

THIS IS NOT A VERBATIM REPORT

MEETING TO ORDER

Ms. Susan Powley, Committee Clerk, called the meeting to order.

ELECTIONS

Chair

Ms. Powley requested nominations for Chair.

*Moved by Mr. Taylor
Seconded by Mr. Gemmell*

THAT *Mr. Peter Gower be nominated Chair of the Kingston Municipal Heritage Committee.*

CARRIED

Mr. Gower accepted the nomination.

*Moved by Councillor Glover
Seconded by Mr. Gemmell*

THAT nominations be closed and that Mr. Gower be declared Chair of the Kingston Municipal Heritage Committee.

CARRIED

Vice-Chair

Ms. Powley asked for nominations for Vice-Chair.

*Moved by Mr. Gemmell
Seconded by Councillor Glover*

THAT Mr. R. Bruce Downey be nominated Vice- Chair of the Kingston Municipal Heritage Committee.

CARRIED

Mr. Downey accepted the nomination.

*Moved by Mr. Duerkop
Seconded by Mr. Taylor*

THAT nominations be closed and that Mr. Downey be declared Vice-Chair of the Kingston Municipal Heritage Committee.

CARRIED

Mr. Gower assumed the Chair at this time.

RECOGNITION

WELCOME and INTRODUCTIONS

CONFIRMATION OF AGENDA

*Moved by Mr. Duerkop
Seconded by Mr. Cardwell*

THAT the agenda and addendum be confirmed to add under 'Planning Report – Other'

- 27-33 Princess Street brickmold
- And to add under 'Business' -Other:
- Lighthouse on Simcoe Island
 - 223 Main Street, Barriefield Village

CARRIED

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

*Moved by Mr. Taylor
Seconded by Mr. Duerkop*

THAT the minutes of the Kingston Municipal Heritage Committee Meeting No. 2011-13 held on 07th November 2011 be confirmed with the following amendments:

- *Page 3 in the last paragraph under 'Historic Properties Research Working Group' to replace 'wouldn't' with 'might not'*
- *Page 4 under 'Walking Tours' to add '2010/2011' after 'all'*
- *Page 5 under '27-33 Princess Street' to replace 'the windows' with 'a window' and to replace 'only some' with 'most', and under 'Chair's Report' to replace 'December' with 'November'*
- *Page 12 to add 'Mr. Cardwell' as the mover of the motion*
- *Page 24 to remove 'advised' from the first paragraph, and to replace 'Levi' with 'Riley' in the second paragraph*

CARRIED

POSSIBLE PECUNIARY INTEREST

Mr. Downey declared a possible pecuniary interest for Request PRE- KMHC-003 (2012) for a property located at 81-83 King Street East as the owner has requested his assistance with this matter.

DELEGATIONS

BRIEFINGS

UPDATES

A. Planning & Development Department – updates were provided by Staff

a) Working Groups:

i. Historic Properties Research Working Group (Don Taylor)

Mr. Taylor advised that Ms. Finley would provide an overview of matters to bring to the attention of the committee.

Ms. Finley provided comments in regard to the following:

- 440 King Street West (St. Helens) and 462 King Street West, properties beside the Stella Buck building - the current status was queried with a suggestion that consideration be given to protection of the properties

Mr. Gemmell said that continuous work has been done on the interior of 440 King Street West and many of the original features are still intact. He suggested a motion to designate the interior of the building.

- Asphalt to the edge of the Congregational Church/Masonic Hall at the northwest corner of Wellington and Johnson, with concerns regarding the effect on the building.
- Two windows have been inserted in a second-storey large gothic window opposite the library and the status of approval was queried
- Status of sign approval on Johnson Street
- Hockey Hall of Fame building is now vacated

Councillor Glover noted the process in regard to the Hockey Hall of Fame building for which staff prepared a building condition report. Consideration and support was given to a staff recommendation for demolition at the Memorial Centre Advisory Committee, a recommendation was provided to ARC, and ARC forwarded a recommendation to Council. Due to problematic substances in the building, the cost of appropriate building repair would exceed \$1 Million. Councillor Glover noted there are other City buildings such as 9 North Street that require repairs.

Commissioner Beach added that the Hockey Hall of Fame building is not currently a Designated building, is not accessible, has no current use and the cost of repair has been estimated in excess of \$1.5 Million.

- ii.* Communications and Education Working Group (John Duerkop, Chair, Bob Cardwell, Peter Gower)

A Kingston Remembers article has been produced for the Limelight magazine.

Mr. Duerkop said the group had met on November 16th regarding what tours they would prepare in 2012. Tours are being developed for the 1812 anniversary, and a Market Square tour. A digital format for the Market Square tour is being discussed which would allow cell phones and mp3 players to pick up the program. A Key to Kingston format for tours will be provided by the Whig Standard, as well as other articles highlighting 1812. All our tours will be included in the one publication rather than being done individually as in the past.

Commissioner Beach advised that cultural mapping is underway to make downloading tours easy.

b) Delegated Authority:

- i.* Application P18-030-002 for alterations to the designated property located at 1, 3, 5 Cassidy Street also known as Grand Trunk Railway Terrace for the replacement of black asphalt shingles with black asphalt shingles.

Ms. Lambert explained a recently issued approval.

In response to a question regarding an alarm system for the building, Ms. Lambert noted an approval for the system in 2007.

c) Technical Circulations to Staff:

- i.* Zoning By-Law Amendment and Draft Plan of Subdivision for 999 Purdys Mill Road – File No.'s D14-220-2011 & D12-064-2011

Schedule – Pages 1-4.

Cultural Heritage Impact Statement distributed separately*

Ms. Lambert noted that reference was made to this matter at the November meeting. She described the Planning process to date, and that the Cultural Heritage Impact Statement was distributed separately to members. Currently there are no Designated buildings on the subject lands; however, it is adjacent to the Cataraqui Cemetery which is on the Heritage Properties Register.

Mr. Duerkop advised that he attended the Planning meeting where this was discussed, and noted the substantial document submitted which was based on a draft by-law which was provided to the developer by the cemetery. He noted there was no reference to the east side of the cemetery or views across the cemetery. He said that only the grave of Sir John A. Macdonald is mentioned which is located on a higher grade than the eastern boundary with site lines indicated; however, site lines are not included for other graves. He said there are few trees on the east side, inside the cemetery boundary. Many of those that are outside are marked to be cut down as they are in poor condition. He expressed his concern for the cemetery as a whole.

Councillor Glover advised that he had previously raised with staff his concerns regarding the Heritage Impact Statement and the need for a peer review of it. He noted that the proponent's consultant had emphasized that consideration of adjacency was not a requirement of a Designation of the National Historic Sites and Monuments Board. The consultant therefore concluded that the development project was allowable. However, Councillor Glover observed that Section 92 of the Canadian Constitution gives exclusive jurisdiction to provinces on matters relating to properties, and therefore he believed that the National Historic Sites and Monuments Board as a federal agency was unable to impose any land restrictions as part of the Designation of a National Historic site. Councillor Glover noted that he had asked Mr. John Grenville, a former Canadian Parks employee, if his interpretation was correct. Mr. Grenville confirmed that it was and noted that the National Historic Sites and Monuments Board hopes that a provincial or municipal jurisdiction that has the ability to impose land restrictions, will follow the lead of a National Historic Sites and Monuments Board Designation and add that extra level of protection. He said that staff have indicated that a peer review of the Heritage Impact Study (HIS) is under consideration.

Councillor Glover expressed concern that the draft by-law was considered as a final document although it had not been made available by the City.

Mr. Taylor said he hadn't seen the draft by-law recently, but the working group had done a lot of work preparing the designation and he recalled emphasizing the concept of a garden cemetery as a place of communication with nature. It has been suggested that the by-law doesn't give protection to the nature of surroundings, and this is not in keeping with the submissions. An approved designation would provide protection against this type of development. Mr. Taylor opposed the comparison of Kingston to

Toronto, noting that Toronto has enormous pressure for development and the City has many empty spaces waiting to be developed. He questioned consideration of an increase in density in that area.

Mr. Cardwell questioned municipal designations beyond the allowances provided under the Provincial Policy Statement. Ms. Venditti referred to policies in Section 7 of the Official Plan that speak to protecting cultural Heritage landscapes which should be addressed by a Heritage Impact Statement (HIS). Ms. Venditti verified that the draft by-law was not released by the City to the applicant. It is anticipated that the by-law will be brought forward early in the new year. The developer has been advised that the City has requested a peer review of the document and discussions are underway. Mr. Cardwell summarized that a Designation isn't able to protect property beyond itself, and other legislation must be relied on.

Mr. Gower invited Ms. Finley, a member of the public, to speak.

Ms. Finley advised that the density requested is substantial. She queried if there are any 20 storey buildings in the City similar to the one proposed, so a building can be visualized in this location. She noted that together with the actual development come numbers of people, cars and noise. She said a response is outstanding regarding an environmental control for an HVAC system on the building. What may work for one building and be a reasonable noise level, may not be pleasant when several buildings are put together. Noise which will affect the cemetery needs to be reviewed, as well as shadows from the building. Ms. Finley queried the inclusion of the Jewish cemetery which is located along Sydenham Road as part of the Cataraqui Cemetery, and that it should also be part of the discussion. Mr. Gower said it was mentioned once in the document.

Mr. Gower said that it will be a negative step if the development receives approval. The City has three large cemeteries: St. Mary's Cemetery which is a religious cemetery, Glenhaven Cemetery which is an open contemporary cemetery, and Cataraqui Cemetery which is a quiet pastoral cemetery. He expressed his disappointment when so much of the HIS was based solely on the Sir John A. Macdonald burial site. He said that families purchase lots in this cemetery for seclusion as part of it is more hidden within trees. In regard to sound, approximately 1700 cars would be in and out as well as service vehicles, services could be heard, there would be smells associated with the units, and garbage and waste. This development would change the cemetery. Unless the cemetery is fenced in, people will go in and the cemetery will become a different place. All the aforementioned factors are extremely negative. He wondered if any comments would be provided in regard to the Jewish cemetery, and noted that although it is unknown if they are part of the Cataraqui Cemetery, they have a Cataraqui Cemetery lot letter. Other communities such as the Chinese community have carefully chosen this cemetery.

To a question by Mr. Gemmell regarding the current development process, Ms. Venditti said that it will be a lengthy process, and noted that a public meeting has been held and the application has been circulated to staff and external agencies. A draft plan of subdivision has been received. Comments by Parks Canada are pending. Peer

reviews have been requested as has a tree inventory. The matter will come back to the Planning Committee for a comprehensive report following a review by staff.

Mr. Gower highlighted 1747 dwelling units noted on the technical circulation and asked if responses regarding the approval of smaller units. Councillor Glover highlighted the HIS discussion in regard to apartment buildings which are within 100' of the cemetery property line.

Mr. Downey affirmed the peer review process at this time from a position of no development, and what development would be appropriate for that site. Mr. Taylor added that there is already a subdivision proposal for this site which is proposed to be expanded somewhat, and which would be consistent with that site.

Mr. Gemmell affirmed the need for the Committee to maintain an awareness of the proposal.

d) Designation Matters:

e) Site Visits and Reports

- i.* 244 King Street East (41 William Street) further to a pre-consultation at the November meeting regarding the replacement of windows

Ms. Lambert reported that a site visit took place on 24th November following a pre-consultation where it was noted that one front window has original elements. The applicant is currently weighing options regarding an application for a Heritage property grant in March 2012. The remaining windows are recent replacements and an application for the replacement of windows is also anticipated.

- ii.* 226 King Street East requesting final grant approval for the restoration of windows (see page 4)

This site visit will be referenced during consideration of the final grant approval.

f) Status of Heritage Grant and Heritage Tax Applications:

g) Other:

- i.* Accessible Built Environment Standards – update on the status of provincial standards being drafted to respond to the Accessibility for Ontarians With Disabilities Act (2005)

Draft document distributed separately*

Ms. Lambert referred to a question at the November meeting. She advised that the above document was passed in 2005 with a first draft released to the Committee for comment between July and October 2009. She said the focus of the document is on new buildings. A final draft has been forwarded to the Minister and exemptions for Heritage properties are incorporated into the document. Ms. Lambert referenced pertinent details within the document. Ms. Lambert summarized that staff continue to monitor the status of the document and will update the Committee accordingly. There do not appear to be major implications for Heritage properties at this time.

To a question by Mr. Cardwell, Ms. Lambert explained that a committee was established to develop five sets of standards in response to the legislation passed in 2005. The distributed document is a final draft document.

Mr. Gervan suggested that the information within the document be communicated.

ii. 27-33 Princess Street

Ms. Lambert advised that further to concerns expressed at the last meeting, staff contacted consultants to the project who advised that they anticipate having brickmold added as part of the project.

Mr. Taylor advised of his concern regarding two different sill heights on the ground floor windows of the 1820 building on Princess Street. He recalled an indication to representatives that windows would be the same height, and agreement that this would be done; however, this direction was not included within a recommendation to Council. Mr. Gower asked Ms. Lambert to note this concern.

B. Chair's Report – updates provided by Mr. Peter Gower, Chair

i. 2012 Heritage Conference – 31st May – 03rd June 2012

Mr. Duerkop highlighted the 2012 Ontario Heritage Conference which will be held in Kingston. The itinerary will include tours and workshops to be held on Thursday, an opening at St. George's Cathedral on Friday, and sessions held in Memorial Hall and at the Marriot Hotel. A banquet will be held Friday and another banquet will be held on Saturday evening at RMC with the Governor General as the special speaker. Details of the conference will be posted on the website by mid-January. On Sunday the Frontenac Heritage Foundation have arranged a tour to Singer and Bolt Castles.

ii. Kingston Remembers Program – Commemoration on City property
Schedule – Pages 5-6.

Mr. Gower highlighted an article which appeared in the 'Limelight' magazine.

iii. Ontario Medal for Young Volunteers – deadline for nominations January 31, 2012
See Schedule – Page 33.

Mr. Gower suggested consideration for nominations for this medal.

iv. Update regarding the restoration of Fort Henry National Historic Site
See Schedule – Page 34.

To a question by Mr. Gemmell regarding opinions expressed regarding defoliation at the site, Mr. Gower advised that staff will review the minutes.

v. CHO News

Mr. Gower noted this publication as well as the Heritage Matters Magazine.

BUSINESS

- A. **Staff Reports**
- B. **Ontario Heritage Permit Applications Requesting Approval**
- C. **Heritage Permit Applications for Emergency Works requiring Reaffirmation**

- i. Update regarding Application P18-309-001-2012EA at 157-161 Queen Street.

The applicant is requesting Council consent to the reaffirmation of alterations to the designated property located at 157-161 Queen Street, in accordance with the details described in Application P18-309-001-2012EA and the submission dated November 01st, 2011 that was deemed complete by the Planning and Development Department on November 09th, 2011, said alterations requesting approval for the repair of a portion of the stone wall on the Queen Street façade, including the replacement of some cracked stones, the replacement of glass in two of the large grade level windows, and a request to expand the scope of work for masonry work if necessary.

Schedule – Pages 7-13.
Engineering report distributed separately*

Ms. Lambert summarized the damage which occurred and the reasons for the emergency application. She said that a building permit would be required, and that the Heritage masonry guidelines were attached to the emergency approval.

To a query by Mr. Gemmell regarding specifications that the stone go back the same way, Ms. Lambert advised of her recollection that the Roney report specified that some replacement would be a possibility. Mr. Gemmell said that new repairs shouldn't be too visible.

Mr. Cardwell suggested that an information sheet should be prepared for situations where emergency approval is provided for the applicant to begin right away, prior to consideration by the Committee, and that it should stipulate that repairs be similar in profile and match the existing stone, similar to the wording used for wood repairs.

*Moved by Mr. Downey
Seconded by Mr. Gervan*

THAT Council consent to the approval of alterations to the designated property located at 157-161 Queen Street, in accordance with the details described in Application P18-309-001-2012EA and the submission dated November 01st, 2011 that was deemed complete by the Planning and Development Department on November 09th, 2011, said alterations requesting approval for the repair of a portion of the stone wall on the Queen Street façade, including the replacement of some cracked stones, the replacement of glass in two of the large grade level windows, and a request to expand the scope of work for masonry work if necessary.

CARRIED

ii. Update regarding Application P18-056-003-2012EA at 18 Barrie Street.

The applicant is requesting Council consent to the reaffirmation of alterations to the designated property located at 18 Barrie Street, in accordance with the details described in Application P18-056-003-2011EA and the submission dated November 07th, 2011 that was deemed complete by the Planning and Development Department on November 07th, 2011 said alterations requesting approval for the replacement of a structural beam, wood framing (supporting an area of soffit) and shoring of the south porch column.

Schedule – Pages 14-21.

Photos and drawings distributed separately*

Ms. Lambert advised that approval was granted in July, and the current application consists of a request to expand the scope of previously approved work, and staff issued an emergency approval for the replacements as noted.

*Moved by Mr. Duerkop
Seconded by Councillor Glover*

***THAT** Council consent to the approval of alterations to the designated property located at 18 Barrie Street, in accordance with the details described in Application P18-056-003-2012EA and the submission dated November 07th, 2011 that was deemed complete by the Planning and Development Department on November 07th, 2011 said alterations requesting approval for the replacement of a structural beam, wood framing (supporting an area of soffit) and shoring of the south porch column.*

CARRIED

D. Heritage Property Grant Applications

Resolution of Council June 07, 2011

E. Pre-Consultation Requests

i. Delegation regarding PRE- KMHC-001 (2012) at 9 George Street.
Advice is being requested regarding the replacement of four windows.

Schedule Page 22.

Correspondence was attached to the addendum and photos have been submitted.

Mr. Gower asked Ms. Lambert to arrange a site visit for this property.

ii. Delegation regarding PRE- KMHC-003 (2012) at 81-83 King Street East.
Advice is being requested to discuss options for the replacement of a fire escape in response to a fire inspection report.

Schedule Pages 23-31.

Photos, drawings and fire inspection report distributed separately*

Mr. Downey declared a possible pecuniary interest.

Mr. Gower invited Mr. Downey to provide an overview of the property.

Mr. Robb Kidd, Assistant Chief, Fire Prevention, was present to explain that this request comes as the result of a fire inspection report, and said he would answer any questions.

Mr. Downey explained that Dr. Westenberg had requested that he assist with this matter, which involves bringing this older building up to current fire standards and that many requirements have to do with fire separations. The involvement of this Committee is requested regarding the protection of openings – exits relating to fire escapes and the protection of windows with fire shutters for which his concern is how these installations would affect interior moldings, etc. Dr. Westenberg is also trying to retain some of the internal character elements of the building such as wood panel doors which don't meet the 20 minute standard. He noted that both he and Mr. Gervan have previously worked on the property. In summary, he said that Dr. Westenberg has owned and been dedicated to this property for a long time, and issues have been raised for correction as the result of a recent fire occurrence in the building. Dr. Westenberg is seeking the advice of the Committee and how he can proceed while protecting the interior of the building in the process. He noted that while other buildings in the City contravene by-laws, a fire department investigation of the property requires the application of fire regulations.

Mr. Gervan confirmed that ornate details could be affected by the changes. He said he is not aware of air conditioning in the building. Regarding the paneled doors, a layer of metal might be installed to provide the required 20 minute standard.

Mr. Gower invited Ms. Finley, a member of the public, to speak.

Ms. Finley referred to a building she owns and that solutions were determined. She referenced available options, and expressed concern that the interiors of Heritage buildings are being ruined.

Mr. Gower asked Ms. Lambert to organize a site visit to include representatives of the fire department. Mr. Kidd confirmed that he and a fire inspector would attend. Ms. Finley offered that Dr. Westenberg view the options with which she had been provided.

To a question by Mr. Gervan regarding the impact of a fire rated solution on the doors on raised moldings, and if there would be a difference if the building was Designated, Mr. Gower suggested that that discussion could take place.

To a question by Mr. Cardwell regarding a fire rated glass without wire, Mr. Downey advised that wire isn't necessary but a particular frame is required, and windows must be unopenable. Different avenues are available in accordance with the number of units. Mr. Downey noted that other communities have been faced with determining how to achieve requirements necessary for fire safety.

Mr. Kidd noted the retroactivity of the fire code, and that there is an option for the owner to use what is in the building to achieve the objectives of the Fire Code. As an example,

components could be put together for doors to achieve those objectives. He noted there are double hung windows with enhanced fire protection as well as other options so appearances aren't altered, but these options may require specialized advice. He advised that time lines are provided and the owner is initially given 30 days. With a reasonable plan to get the work done, a fire inspector can provide a compliance plan to the Deputy Chief; without a plan, action is taken. He further explained the financial limitations that prosecution places on owners, so retroactive situations are treated differently.

Mr. Downey suggested that recommendations could be offered to the Committee through Ms. Lambert.

Councillor Glover noted that the interior of this building is not Designated. He referred to City intensification discussions and questioned this approach by querying the lowest threshold for a building. Mr. Kidd responded that there are many thresholds such as the number of residents, the nature of their occupancy and the number of storeys. He further explained the thresholds and noted the amendment of the Fire Code in 2007.

Dr. Westenberg arrived and the discussion continued as he described his concerns regarding damage to the appearance of the building and the historic importance of the interior. He advised that a fire escape was installed and approved in 1999, but that the vertical ladder from the first floor to the ground is no longer acceptable although there are other locations in the City where these exist. Adding another egress will harm the exterior of the building, and he questioned whether a change would make the building safer. He said there is a staircase all the way down. He said he wants the building to be safe, asked if there is flexibility for a Heritage building. He said he has pictures to describe the situation.

A site visit will be organized as soon as possible, noting that Dr. Westenberg has a meeting with the Fire Department Thursday at 2:00 p.m.

F. Heritage Grant Applications Requesting Release of Funding

- i. Update regarding Heritage Property Grant Application HG-10-2011 for a property at 226 King Street East requesting final approval for the restoration of exterior original windows.

Schedule Page 32.

A resolution of Council April 15, 2008 was referenced. A site visit has taken place.

*Moved by Mr. Downey
Seconded by Mr. Cardwell*

WHEREAS the owner of 226 King Street East has requested final approval for Heritage Grant Application HG-10-2011, and
WHEREAS as per the requirements of By-Law No. 2005-258 'A By-Law to Establish a Heritage Grants Program', a site visit to review the completed works was completed,

THEREFORE BE IT RECOMMENDED THAT Heritage Property Grant HG-10-2011 for 226 King Street East BE APPROVED for the restoration of exterior original windows,

- and further –

THAT staff be authorized to issue a cheque for \$2000.00 as per the process outlined in By-Law No. 2005-258 ‘A By-Law to Establish a Heritage Grants Program’.

CARRIED

G. Heritage Property Grant Abandoned Applications

H. Heritage Property Tax Refund Applications

I. Property Designations

Ms. Lambert noted that Council has adopted an updated template for Designations.

Moved by Mr. Gemmell

Seconded by Mr. Cardwell

THAT staff be requested to initiate an update of the current designation by-laws for 440 King Street West (By-law 8497 88-92) and 462 King Street West (By-law 87-335).

CARRIED

J. Technical Circulations

K. Other

- i. Request for an information meeting in regard to a property at 85 Fifth Field Company Lane also known as the Queen’s Theological Hall.*

Further to a query Mr. Downey received from Mr. Zaback, as a follow up to a query from Ms. Ann Browne, Associate Vice Principal (Facilities) at Queen’s University, he advised he confirmed Committee agreement that the windows should be kept. He recalled a funding condition that the window replacements be new windows.

To a question regarding new consideration of the windows, Ms. Lambert confirmed a decision of Council in July 2010 to approve the replacement of 2nd storey windows with aluminum clad windows, and the denial of the replacement of ground floor windows.

Members agreed that it is hopeful that the terms of the grant being offered by a potential donor can be changed as the longevity of restored original windows is greater than that of replacement windows. A meeting will be arranged to include the donor if possible.

- ii. Lighthouse on Simcoe Island*

Mr. Gower noted a request he had received from the Mayor’s office further to correspondence the Mayor had received requesting comment in regard to Canada’s oldest active lighthouse on the Great Lakes. He asked if some members would consider working together to address these issues.

Councillor Glover advised that Simcoe Island and this lighthouse are outside City jurisdiction, but what is germane to the City is safe navigation as there are many recreational boating craft, making the light important. A loss of that light has potential impact. He noted Parks Canada information from 1975 that indicated the stone structure is expensive to maintain.

Mr. Gower advised that he would circulate the letter he and Councillor Glover had received to members to request any comments.

iii. Military Reserve/'Lot A' Boundary Stone

Information to include photos of the markers, measurements, previous KMHC and Council discussions and decisions, and a history of the markers was attached to the addendum.

Mr. Cardwell referenced the information he had resubmitted as well as past discussions. He clarified that the marker is positioned on the road allowance, so falls under City jurisdiction. He noted the marker which has now been placed, but the marker is not a replica.

Mr. Duerkop clarified that the shape of the top and sides of the marker, as well as the lettering, are different so it is not a replica as requested.

Mr. Gower clarified that a replica is meant to be a duplicate, and the request which was approved by Council in November 1999 was not carried out. Further to the approval granted in November, correspondence was directed by the Committee to the Curator, Military Communications & Electronics Museum, Base Property Officer and Base Commander in May 2000 to applaud the initiative to preserve and maintain the location for the historic obelisk or a replica in the determined position.

Mr. Downey recalled that the sequence of events, and the original concerns that the original marker had deteriorated over time due to road salt and exposure to the elements. It then stayed in position for years. He noted that there have been changes in staff and Committee members, and that at this time, it is reasonable that correspondence be directed to the City or the museum with clarification regarding a duplicate marker.

Ms. Lambert verified that the new marker material is granite.

Mr. Taylor suggested that the marker which is placed should be accompanied by a notice that the original marker is in the museum.

A discussion took place regarding what approach to take, while recognizing the length of time that has elapsed, and changes in personnel. It was noted that this request reflects the same intent as requesting the same moldings and profile for a window. It was agreed that the correspondence should simply reflect an observation by members

further to the original request, commendation of the action, and that it is not intended to be a complaint.

Moved by Mr. Downey

Seconded by Mr. Gemmell

WHEREAS in 1999, Heritage Kingston was approached by the Curator of the Military Communications and Electronics Museum with a proposal to remove a boundary marker and replace it with a replica; and

WHEREAS this was proposed in order to protect the original marker from deterioration by salt and exposure to the elements; and

WHEREAS the substitution has taken place over 10 years later and the marker there is not faithful to the original marker; and

WHEREAS those who were involved with the original proposal may no longer be involved with the Canadian Forces Base;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Kingston Municipal Heritage Committee provide correspondence as a reminder of the request of the original delegation, that the marker be replicated rather than another marker put in place.

CARRIED

Councillor Glover recorded Opposed

iv. 223 Main Street, Barriefield Village

Mr. Gemmell referenced a site visit, and that the interior quality of the windows should be viewed. He advised that he has since observed that windows were removed, several crews were working on the building, and windows are now in place which appear to be replacement windows without storm windows. He said the profile doesn't allow for a storm window, and that this is an integral part of the restoration.

Ms. Lambert confirmed a previous approval for repainting the windows. Staff have sent correspondence to the owners, and a recent response has indicated that the owner thought he had received direction that eavestroughing could be incorporated.

Mr. Cardwell noted two new windows on the south which have not received consideration by the Committee. He expressed concern that the windows have been moved further back into the openings.

This matter will be considered at the January meeting.

MOTIONS

NOTICE OF MOTION

OTHER BUSINESS

UPCOMING EVENTS

31st May – 03rd June - 2012 - Heritage Conference in Kingston

2011 Frontenac Heritage Foundation Series beginning at 2:00 p.m.

i. **10th December** – at the Common Market

CORRESPONDENCE/CIRCULATIONS

Copies of documents were made available at the meeting if a member wished to review the full document.

A. Reports to/from Council

- i.* 07th November 2011 – Heritage Report No. 13-2011 – considered on 15th November 2011.

B. Correspondence Received

- i.* Ministry of Citizenship and Immigration – Ontario Medal for Young Volunteers – Deadline for nominations is January 31, 2012 (www.ontario.ca/honoursandawards).

Schedule Page 33.

- ii.* Parks Canada – Restoration of Fort Henry National Historic Site's glacis through the development of a Cultural Landscape Conservation Plan.

Schedule Page 34.

- iii.* Mr. Henk Wevers – suggestion for the development of walking maps in addition to or editing those already available.

Schedule Page 35.

C. Correspondence Sent

Copies of the following were made available at the meeting if a member wished to review the full document.

Correspondence from Mr. John Bolognone, City Clerk to:

- i.* Kingston Terminal Properties Ltd. – Application P18-509-089-2011 regarding property located at 330 King Street East.
- ii.* Kincore Holdings – Application P18-696-105-2011 regarding property located at 318-322 King Street East.
- iii.* Ontario Heritage Trust, Jim Leonard, Registrar, – City of Kingston Municipal Heritage Committee (LACAC) Approvals – approvals, November 15th, 2011.

Correspondence from Mr. Grant C. Bain, Director, Planning & Development to:

- i.* Carl Croy, 948 Highway 2 East – Heritage Property Grants Program completion
- ii.* Barbara-Lee Langmuir and Frank Wilcox, 226 Main Street - Heritage Property Grants Program completion.

Correspondence from Mr. Peter Gower, Chair to:

- i.* Queen's University - 144 Barrie Street and 146 Barrie Street – Deferral of Applications
- ii.* Mr. Claude Tardif – 112 Rideau Street – Withdrawal of Application P18-666-082-2011
- iii.* Mr. Gerald Finley and Ms. Helen Finley - Congratulations as recipients of the Margaret Angus Award from the Frontenac Heritage Foundation on October 11, 2011.

DOCUMENTS

An asterisk * notes items where documents are distributed separately on a usb. The following documents are available for viewing on the City of Kingston website:

- i.* Code of Conduct for Council and Committee Members at:
<http://www.cityofkingston.ca/cityhall/committees/infrastructure/index.asp>
- ii.* Council Procedural By-law 2010-1 at:
<http://www.cityofkingston.ca/cityhall/bylaws/index.asp>
- iii.* Committee By-law 2010-205 at:
<http://www.cityofkingston.ca/cityhall/bylaws/index.asp>
- iv.* City of Kingston Heritage Properties Register and Listed Properties Consolidation at: www.cityofkingston.ca/heritageproperties

HERITAGE LINKS

- i.* Ontario Heritage Act: http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws_statutes_90o18_e.htm
- ii.* Ontario Heritage Connection: www.ontarioheritageconnection.org
<http://www.ontarioheritageconnection.org/live/main.php>
- iii.* Provincial Policy Statement: <http://www.mah.gov.on.ca/Asset1421.aspx>
- iv.* Accessibility in Museums:
<http://www.culture.gov.on.ca/english/heritage/museums/munote12.htm>
- v.* General Accessibility: <http://www.mcass.gov.on.ca/NR/rdonlyres/F8C53147-3A0E-41F1-9C95-C8829DD5485C/1118/AGUIDETOANNUALACCESSIBILITYPLANNINGJan07E.pdf>
- vi.* Archaeological Master Plan:
<http://www.cityofkingston.ca/residents/culture/heritage/archaeology/>
- vii.* Architectural Conservancy of Ontario newsletters:
<http://visitor.r20.constantcontact.com/manage/optin/ea?v=001QKOUjm76P7atNhc9cspLoQ%3D%3D>
- viii.* Ontario Heritage Directory: www.ontariohistoricalsociety.ca
- ix.* City Heritage Properties Register:
<http://www.cityofkingston.ca/residents/culture/heritage/properties.asp>

NEXT MEETING DATES

The following schedule of meetings has been established for 2012 at 12:00 noon in the Council Chamber:

- 09 January
- 06 February
- 05 March
- 02 April
- 07 May
- 04 June
- 09 July
- 13 August
- 10 September
- 01 October
- 05 November
- 03 December

Any updates regarding the location, date and time will be noted on each meeting agenda and on the City meeting calendar at:

<http://www.cityofkingston.ca/calendar/meetings/all/>.

ADJOURNMENT:

The meeting adjourned at 3:00 p.m.

